# PA 48 of 2021 Section 98b <br> Goal Setting and Progress on Benchmark Assessments 

Contact Name: Erika Beal
Contact Email: e.beal@gpssolutions.us
District Name: Faxon Immersion Academy
District Code: 63926

Academic goals must be related to and measured by benchmark assessments as required by section 104a of Public Act 48 of 2021.

## K-5 School/Grade-Level Goal

District Name: Faxon Academy
Name: Faxon Academy
Building Leader: Erika Beal

Table A: Academic Goals for Students:

| Goal Category | Goal Related to Student <br> Achievement or Growth on K- <br> 8 Benchmarks | Benchmark <br> Assessment <br> Name | Related to either <br> Student <br> Achievement or <br> Growth on <br> Benchmark <br> Assessments <br> (Achievement or <br> Growth?) | Aligned to <br> Covid-19 <br> Learning Plan <br> from 2020-21 <br> (Y/N) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Middle of Year Reading <br> Goal | The percent of students <br> meeting their projected score <br> will average 50\%. | NWEA MAP | Growth | No, the <br> academy <br> adjusted the <br> goals to match <br> Saginaw <br> Valley State <br> University <br> Charter <br> School Goals, <br> which are <br> different from <br> the continuity <br> of learning <br> plan. |
| Middle of Year <br> Mathematics Goal | The percent of students <br> meeting their projected score <br> will average 40\%. | NWEA MAP | Growth | No, the <br> academy <br> adjusted the |


|  |  |  |  | goals to match <br> Saginaw <br> Valley State <br> University <br> Charter <br> School Goals, <br> which are <br> different from <br> the continuity <br> of learning <br> plan. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End of Year Reading <br> Goal | The percent of students <br> meeting their projected score <br> will average 50\%. | NWEA MAP | Growth | No, the <br> academy <br> adjusted the <br> goals to match <br> Saginaw <br> Valley State <br> University <br> Charter <br> School Goals, <br> which are <br> different from <br> the continuity <br> of learning <br> plan. |
|  |  |  | NWEA MAP | Growth |
| End of Year |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics Goal |  | The percent of students <br> meeting their projected score <br> will average 40\%. |  | No, the <br> academy <br> adjusted the <br> goals to match <br> Saginaw <br> Valley State <br> University <br> Charter <br> School Goals, <br> which are <br> different from <br> the continuity <br> of learning <br> plan. |

As required by law, reports to local school boards must include, but are not limited to, disaggregated information by student demographics, grade level, and the mode of instruction received by the pupils to which the information applies.

Table B: Achievement or Growth on Benchmark Assessment - By Student Demographics

| Reporting Category <br> Suggest reporting on <br> subgroups where <br> n $\geq 30$ | By First Board Meeting in <br> February 2023 |  | No Later than <br> Last Day of School Year |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Reading | Math | Reading | Math |
| All Students | $30 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $27 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| Econ. Disadvantaged | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Special Education | $\%$ met their <br> projected growth | $\%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| English Learner | $0 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $0 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| Female | $31 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $28 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| Male | $31 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $20 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| American Indian or <br> Alaskan Native | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Asian American | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Black or African <br> American | $26 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $29 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | $0 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $0 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  | N |
| White | $100 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $0 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |

*Data indicates groups of fewer than 10 students, so the sample size may be too small for acceptable statistical reliability.
Table C: Achievement or Growth on Benchmark Assessment - By Grade Level

| Reporting Category <br> Suggest reporting on <br> subgroups where <br> $n \geq 30$ | By First Board Meeting in <br> February 2023 |  | No Later than <br> Last Day of School Year |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Reading | Math | Reading | Math |
| Kindergarten | $33 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $28 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st } G r a d e ~}$ | $22 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $39 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd } \text { Grade }}$ | $22 \%$ met their | $22 \%$ met their |  |  |


|  | projected growth | projected growth |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Grade | $9 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $30 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $36 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $18 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $55 \%$ met their <br> projected growth | $27 \%$ met their <br> projected growth |  |  |

## 6-8 School/Grade-Level Goal

District Name: Faxon Academy

School Name: Faxon Academy
Building Leader: Erika Beal

Table A: Academic Goals for Students:

| Goal Category | Goal Related to Student Achievement or Growth on K8 Benchmarks | Benchmark Assessment Name | Student Achievement or Growth on Benchmark Assessments | Aligned to Covid-19 Learning Plan from 2020-21 (Y/N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle of Year Reading Goal | Students are expected to have a Student Conditional Growth Percentile (SCGP) of the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile or higher. <br> Students will achieve scores equal to or greater than the grade level reading college and career readiness target. <br> - Winter Target: 217.1 <br> The percent of students meeting their projected score will average $40 \%$. | NWEA MAP | SCGP: 37.7\% <br> Winter RIT: 203 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 81.6\% | No, the academy adjusted the goals to match Saginaw Valley State University Charter School Goals, which are different from the continuity of learning plan. |
| Middle of Year Mathematics Goal | Students are expected to have a Student Conditional Growth Percentile (SCGP) of the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile or higher. <br> Students will achieve scores equal to or greater than the grade level math college and career readiness target. <br> - Winter Target: 223.8 <br> The percent of students meeting their projected score will average $30 \%$. | NWEA MAP | SCGP: 39\% <br> Winter RIT: 205.2 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 58\% | No, the academy adjusted the goals to match Saginaw Valley State University Charter School Goals, which are different from the continuity of learning plan. |
| End of Year Reading Goal | Students are expected to have a Student Conditional Growth Percentile (SCGP) of the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile or higher. | NWEA MAP | Achievement and Growth | No, the academy adjusted the goals to match Saginaw |


|  |  |  |  | Valley State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students will achieve scores equal to or greater than the grade level reading college and career readiness target. <br> - Spring Target: 218.4 <br> The percent of students meeting their projected score will average $60 \%$. |  |  | University Charter School Goals, which are different from the continuity of learning plan. |
| End of Year Mathematics Goal | Students are expected to have a Student Conditional Growth Percentile (SCGP) of the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile or higher. <br> Students will achieve scores equal to or greater than the grade level math college and career readiness target. <br> - Winter Target: 226.5 <br> The percent of students meeting their projected score will average $60 \%$. | NWEA MAP | Achievement and Growth | No, the academy adjusted the goals to match Saginaw Valley State University Charter School Goals, which are different from the continuity of learning plan. |

As required by law, reports to local school boards must include, but are not limited to, disaggregated information by student demographics, grade level, and the mode of instruction received by the pupils to which the information applies.

Table B: Achievement or Growth on Benchmark Assessment - By Student Demographics

| Reporting Category <br> Suggest reporting on <br> subgroups where <br> $n \geq 30$ | By First Board Meeting in <br> February 2023 |  | No Later than <br> Last Day of School Year |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Reading | Math | Reading |  |
| All Students | SCGP: $51.8 \%$ <br> Winter RIT: 183.9 <br> Percent of <br> students meeting <br> their projected <br> score: $81.6 \%$ | SCGP: 35.1\% <br> Winter RIT: 184 <br> Percent of <br> students meeting <br> their projected <br> score: 58\% | Math |  |



| Hispanic or Latino | Percent of students <br> meeting their <br> projected score: <br> 100\% | Percent of students <br> meeting their projected <br> score: $100 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | NA | NA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

*Data indicates groups of fewer than 10 students, so the sample size may be too small for acceptable statistical reliability.
Table C: Achievement or Growth on Benchmark Assessment - By Grade Level

| Reporting Category Suggest reporting on subgroups where$n \geq 30$ | By First Board Meeting in February 2023 |  | No Later than Last Day of School Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Math | Reading | Math |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade | SCGP: 5.43\% <br> Winter RIT: 204.5 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 67\% | SCGP: 4.55\% <br> Winter RIT: 206.8 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 67\% |  |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade | SCGP: 4.54\% <br> Winter RIT: 202.8 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 89\% | SCGP: 2.67\% <br> Winter RIT: 205.6 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 40\% |  |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | SCGP: -5.43\% <br> Winter RIT: 212.3 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 89\% | SCGP: -2.32\% <br> Winter RIT: 203.2 <br> Percent of students meeting their projected score: 67\% |  |  |

